

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/545,834	04/10/2000	Shuhei Harada	Q58793	3167	
7:	590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			TRAN, LY T		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, 2	2000, 0202		2062	2052	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- M
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>(</b> )
		09/545,834	HARADA ET AL.	
Office Action Sur	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ly T TRAN	2853	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, t</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended</li> </ul>	COMMUNICATION.  r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136  te of this communication.  ss than thirty (30) days, a reply w  ne maximum statutory period will  period for reply will, by statute, c  three months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 17 Feb	oruary 2004		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		ction is non-final.		
·	•	e except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	S
closed in accordance with	n the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 72-89</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,33/5,31/1,</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>80,88 and 89</u> is/ 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-87</u> is/are objective. 8) □ Claim(s) are subjective.	2,3,6-32,42-65 and 75 34-41,66,69,70,72-74 is are rejected. ected to.	- <u>79</u> is/are withdrawn from consides/are allowed.	deration.	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _				
''		awing(s) be held in abeyance. See		
Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	• •	n is required if the drawing(s) is obj miner. Note the attached Office		<sub>(</sub> α).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
3. Copies of the certification from the	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the priorit e International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicati y documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892	2)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/545,834

Art Unit: 2853

#### **ED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 80, 88 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102() as being anticipated by lida (USPN 6,000788)

lida et al discloses:

 An ink jet recording head having nozzle orifices from which ink drops are ejected (Column 4: line 40-45); Application/Control Number: 09/545,834

Art Unit: 2853

Page 3

- An ink storage unit for storing ink to be supplied to the recording head (fig.5: element 4);
- An ink flow passage communicating the ink storage unit and the recording head (Fig.5, see below);
- A valve unit for opening/closing the ink flow passage (Fig.5: element 21,20);
- a valve control chamber, a flexible diaphragm (element 3), which constitute a bottom wall of the valve control chamber;
- an actuation body (element 21) for deforming a center portion of the
   diaphragm (3) so as to close only the exit port (Fig.6);
- the actuation body closes the exit port when a negative pressure is applied to the valve control chamber (Fig.6A)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui et
- al. lida (USPN 6,000,788) in view of in view of Wu et al. (USPN 5,851,004)).

lida fails to teach the actuation is a rod.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

Wu teaches the actuation is a rod ((Fig.1: element 7,74,75).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an actuation is a rod as taught by Wu. The motivation of doing so is to deform the diaphragm.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 33/5, 33/1, 34-41, 66, 69, 70 and 72-74 are allowed.
  - The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1, 4, 33/1, 34-41 and 66-68 is the inclusion of the limitation of an ink jet recording apparatus and a method comprising the air valve always closes the air hole while the suction pump decompresses the internal space of the capping unit. It is limitation found in each claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.
  - The primary reason for the allowance of claims 5, and 33/5 is the inclusion of the limitation of an ink jet recording apparatus comprising the valve unit opens the ink flow passage after a first predetermined time period elapses since the starting of the decompressing by the suction pump. It is limitation found in each claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,834 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

• The primary reason for the allowance of claims 69, 70 and 72 is the inclusion of a cleaning method for an ink jet recording head comprising the step of holding the decompressed stated for a first predetermined time period and opening the ink flow passage by the valve unit after the first predetermined period has elapsed. It is limitation found in each claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

5. Claims 81-87 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 81-87 are allowable over prior art of record because at least prior art have not been found to anticipate or teach the combination of the entrance port is on a top wall of the valve control chamber at a portion away from the center of the diaphragm and the exit port is on the top wall at right above the center portion of the diaphragm.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 80 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,834

Art Unit: 2853

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lt April 21, 2004 Stephen D. Meler Primary Examiner Page 6